



6

To

The Secretary,

Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission Ltd., Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:-Objections/suggestions to the tariff proposals of TSSPDCL for the year 2015-16 - Submitted.

1. Telangana State Southern Power Distribution Company Limited is not acting as a company registered under companies act 1956; it is acting as state electricity department. It submitted these proposals, after taking approval from government, not based on its needs, did not cover its deficit fully and took abnormally long time for this work.
2. This company made these proposals in connivance with TSNPDCL and not as an independent identity. It may be noted, earlier all the 4 companies in the state of A.P. used to come out with the same rates for around 14 years. After the bifurcation of the state, the 2 companies in Telangana came out with rates different from those of A.P. Evidently, these proposals are not based on the field conditions.
3. This Tariff proposal is illegal. Proviso (g) of Section 61 of The Electricity Act 2003 ordains the tariff to progressively reflect the cost of supply. TSSPDCL proposes to sell, a considerable part of its power purchases, at a very low rate and even freely.
4. There is a deliberate attempt to scuttle any meaningful evaluation of the proposed tariffs by adopting a very high number of categories and slabs. No study is conducted to arrive at so many slabs.
5. Provision (b) of Section 61 of Act 2003 orders the functioning of the distribution company to be on commercial lines. It is evident that the company is being run, on the whims and fancies of the officials, as a social welfare organization. This is in sharp contrast to BSNL, APSRTC etc. Against all commercial principles, the DISCOM is proposing higher tariffs to bulk consumers.
6. The government is not paying the subsidy it promised while purchasing high cost power on temporary basis. Consequently the consumers are paying the resultant interest burden.
7. The Act contemplates progressive reduction of tariff differences. Now the proposal of DISCOM is increasing the difference.
8. The wheeling charges and losses are to be considerably reduced for non-conventional energy.
9. I would like to be heard in person, at the hearings too.

Yours sincerely,



[P. Kodanda Ramaiah]

Overleaf to the objections/suggestions to the tariff proposals of TSSPDCL.

Name and address of the objector	P.Kodanda Ramaiah, 712, Turquoise Block, My Home Jewel, Madinaguda, Hyd -49.	
Brief details of objections/suggestions	These proposals are monopolistic as they come out with the same tariffs, Illegal as they are violating Act 2003, the number of slabs are to be brought down for any meaningful evaluation, the subsidies due from the government are to be collected this year, the proposal should cover all the requirement, wheeling charges and losses are to be reduced drastically.	
Objections against tariff proposals of	TSSPDCL	
Whether copy of objections and proof of delivery at licensee's office are enclosed	Yes	
Whether objector wants to be heard in person	Yes	